

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Agenda

Date:	Monday, 19th December, 2016
Time:	2.00 pm
Venue:	Committee Suite 1,2 & 3, Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach CW11 1HZ

The agenda is divided into 2 parts. Part 1 is taken in the presence of the public and press. Part 2 items will be considered in the absence of the public and press for the reasons indicated on the agenda and in the report.

It should be noted that Part 1 items of Cheshire East Council decision making and Overview and Scrutiny meetings are audio recorded and the recordings will be uploaded to the Council's website

PART 1 – MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC AND PRESS PRESENT

1. **Apologies for Absence**

2. **Minutes of Previous meeting** (Pages 3 - 6)

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 28 November 2016.

3. **Declarations of Interest**

To provide an opportunity for Members and Officers to declare any disclosable pecuniary and non-pecuniary interests in any item on the agenda.

For requests for further information

Contact Katie Small

Tel: 01270 686465

E-Mail: katie.small@cheshireeast.gov.uk with any apologies

4. **Whipping Declarations**

To provide an opportunity for Members to declare the existence of a party whip in relation to any item on the agenda

5. **Public Speaking/Open Session**

A total period of 15 minutes is allocated for members of the public to make a statement(s) on any matter that falls within the remit of the Committee.

Individual members of the public may speak for up to 5 minutes, but the Chairman will decide how the period of time allocated for public speaking will be apportioned, where there are a number of speakers.

Note: In order for officers to undertake any background research, it would be helpful if members of the public contacted the Scrutiny officer listed at the foot of the agenda, at least one working day before the meeting to provide brief details of the matter to be covered.

6. **Emotionally Health Schools Project**

Jonathan Potter Head of Service - Preventative Services and Keith Simpson – Headteacher - Middlewich High School to give a presentation.

7. **0-19 Healthy Child Programme update**

Joanne Sutton, Commissioning Manager Adult Social Care and Health and Neil Perris from Wirral Community NHS Trust, to give a presentation.

8. **CSE Task and Finish Group - Final Report** (Pages 7 - 26)

To consider the CSE Task and Finish Group Final report.

9. **Forward Plan** (Pages 27 - 38)

To note the current forward plan, identify any new items, and to determine whether any further examination of new issues is appropriate.

10. **Work Programme** (Pages 39 - 48)

To review the Committee's Work Programme.

CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL**Minutes of a meeting of the Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

held on Monday, 28th November, 2016 at Committee Suite 1,2 & 3,
Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach CW11 1HZ

PRESENT

Councillor Rhoda Bailey (Chairman)

Councillors B Dooley, L Jeuda, G Merry, J Saunders, M Parsons and I Faseyi

In attendance

Councillor L Durham

Councillor G Heyes

J Forster - Director of Education and 14-19 Skills

N Moorhouse - Director of Children's Social Care

K Rose – Head of Childrens Safeguarding

T Ryan - Director of Children's Prevention & Support

Apologies

Councillors S Pochin, D Flude and A Moran

35 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors D Flude, A Moran and S Pochin.

36 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Consideration was given to the minutes of the meeting held on 31 October 2016.

RESOLVED

That the minutes of the meeting be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

37 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

38 WHIPPING DECLARATIONS

There were no whipping declarations

39 PUBLIC SPEAKING/OPEN SESSION

There were no members of the public present wishing to speak

40 LOCAL AUTHORITY DESIGNATED OFFICER ANNUAL REPORT 2015/2016

Consideration was given to the Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO) Annual Report 2015-2016. Working Together to Safeguard Children 2015 (WTSC) required local authorities to have a particular officer or team of officers to be involved in the management and oversight of allegations against people who work with children. The LADO provided advice and guidance to employer's and voluntary organisations and would liaise with police and other agencies as required. The LADO had responsibility to monitor the progress of individual cases to ensure they were dealt with quickly, fairly and consistency, as well as identifying significant patterns and trends across the workforce.

It was noted that there had been a 5% increase in referrals relating to transport which would be investigated and highlighted the need to strengthen the links between the LADO and Cheshire East Transport Solutions and Licensing. These generally related to children with complex needs being transported to and from school.

With regard to independent fostering agencies, it was suggested that as there was no national database, there would be no way of knowing if a foster carer had previous unsubstantiated claims from other local authority areas. A national database would be useful as it would enable officers to detect trends.

RESOLVED

That the report be received.

41 CHILDREN AND FAMILIES PERFORMANCE SCORECARD - QUARTER 2, 2016-17

Consideration was given to the performance scorecard which provided an overview of performance across the Children and Families Service for quarter 2 of 2016/17. Improvements had been made since the previous quarter; there had been an increase in those measures judged green and amber and a reduction in red measures. There were 3 red measures; two related to the health of cared for children and the other related to Special Educations Needs Health and Care Plans.

With regard to Health Assessments, members requested that the statutory neighbour average be included in the scorecard.

Members were pleased that the percentage of new Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCP) completed within 20 weeks were increasing. It was highlighted to Members that there was a huge improvement plan including 5 task and finish groups and a route and branch review of the staffing structure being undertaken.

RESOLVED

That the quarter 2 performance scorecard be received.

42 PROGRESS REPORT ON THE CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Consideration was given to progress against the Children and Young Peoples Improvement Plan which was set out under 4 key objectives:

- Embedding listening to and acting on the voice of children and young people throughout services
- Ensuring frontline practice is consistently good, effective and outcome focused
- Improving senior management oversight of the impact of services on children and young people
- Ensuring the partnership effectively protects and ensures good outcomes for all children and young people in Cheshire East.

Significant activity had taken place since the Ofsted inspection in 2015 and a number of recommendations had now been fully met. The remaining recommendations concerned the key cornerstones of practice and providing a consistently good quality of practice, which would require a longer timeframe to achieve the shift in culture and practice.

A bid had been submitted to the Department of Education funding innovation programme to assist in cultural change as the authority needed to concentrate on practices as much as processes.

RESOLVED

- That the Committee notes the progress achieved against the improvement.
- That the Committee endorses the progress achieved so far and the proposal for the new Improvement Plan.

43 CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION

The Committee received an update on the recruitment activity and workforce stability since these issues were last reported to the Committee in January 2016. Recruitment and retention of social workers remained a challenge for all local authorities around the region, however there was evidence to suggest that Cheshire East was now an employer of choice. Members were pleased to see work and initiatives that were being undertaken to encourage people to return to social work.

RESOLVED

1. That the report and workforce data be received.
2. That the recruitment and retention activity that had already taken place be endorsed.

44 FORWARD PLAN

Consideration was given to the areas of the forward plan which fell within the remit of the Committee.

RESOLVED

That the forward plan be received.

45 WORK PROGRAMME

Consideration was given to the work programme. the following amendments were made:

- That the item on emotion health and wellbeing scheduled for 19 December 2016 focus on emotionally health schools.
- That the Child Sexual Exploitation Task and Finish Report be deferred to the meeting scheduled to be held on 19 December 2016.
- That the item on Project Crewe be considered at the meeting scheduled to be held on 30 January 2017 to enable the Committee to give consideration to the evaluation report.

RESOLVED

That the work programme be amended to reflect the issues highlighted above.

The meeting commenced at 2.00 pm and concluded at 3.40 pm

Councillor Rhoda Bailey (Chairman)

CESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

REPORT TO: Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date of Meeting: 19 December 2016
Report of: Director of Legal Services
Subject/Title: Child Sexual Exploitation(CSE)
Portfolio Holder: Councillor Liz Durham

1.0 Report Summary

- 1.1 This report introduces the CSE Task and Finish Group's (the Task Group) report on its findings, conclusions and recommendations following its review.

2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Committee approves the Task Group's recommendations, which are contained in the attached report at page 17, section 16
- 2.2 That the Committee submit the Task Group's report to Cabinet for consideration and request a response to be received by this Committee on a date to be determined.

3.0 Reasons for Recommendations

- 3.1 The Task Group was established to consider whether the Council's arrangements to safeguard children from CSE are adequate. The Task Group's findings and recommendations are provided to advise Cabinet and Officers in the development of future strategies and services.

4.0 Wards Affected

- 4.1 All

5.0 Local Ward Members

- 5.1 All

6.0 Background

- 6.1 The Group's original scope for the review was to seek assurances about the Council's safeguarding arrangements in relation to CSE, to advise the Cabinet and the Council's partners on any improvements that are considered appropriate to local arrangements and to raise awareness of CSE across the whole community of Cheshire East.

6.2 The review was carried out in two distinct phases. The first phase looked at the various strategies and policies that the Council and partners had in place to protect children and young people from CSE. The second phase investigated how these strategies and policies were applied in practice.

6.3 The Group's report documents its findings, conclusions and recommendations.

11.0 Access to Information

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name:	Mark Nedderman
Designation:	Scrutiny Manager
Tel No:	01270 6 864598
Email:	mark.nedderman@cheshireeast.gov.uk



Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee



Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) Task and Finish Group
December 2014– August 2016

1.0 Chairman's Foreword

- 1.1 Following the issue of the Interim Report of the CSE Task and Finish Group, a reconstituted group was formed. This had become necessary following the sad and untimely death of the previous chairman, Phil Hoyland, and the local elections which brought new membership on to the Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
- 1.2 The new group met collectively on seven occasions, and other meetings took place involving two, or one, members with other individuals on five occasions.
- 1.3 Our task, we considered, was to ensure that the service provided by the council was as good as it could be for those young people who are caught up in CSE and that they are given the support they need in efforts to try to deal with this problem.
- 1.4 The additional meetings referred to in para 2 above, took place as follows:
- 1.5 13th June, 2016 - Cllrs. Dorothy Flude and Rhoda Bailey visited the Youth Engagement Service (YES) at Delamere House, Crewe, where they met the, Operations Manager and, Senior Manager, (see separate notes).
- 1.6 30th June, 2016 – Cllrs. Dorothy Flude and Rhoda Bailey attended a meeting of the Operations Group at Cledford House, Middlewich, where they met the Head of Service, Children's Safeguarding and manager of the Safeguarding Children in Educational Settings (SCIES) team, together with representatives from agencies including the police, NHS nursing, YES operations manager, Catch 22, and a Missing from Home care worker.
- 1.7 13th July, 2016 – Cllr. Dorothy Flude and Rhoda Bailey visited the CSE Integrated Team at Sandbach House where they met the manager and representatives from the police, Catch 22, a Missing from Home care worker and a nurse specialist (see separate notes).
- 1.8 In addition, Cllrs. Gill Merry and Dorothy Flude each visited social workers engaged with young people who were involved in or at risk of being involved in CSE.
- 1.9 We are grateful to Kate Rose, Head of Safeguarding, and all participants in this process who have helped us in the production of this report and to Mark Nedderman for his guidance and work in collating the information.

2.0 Introduction and Background

- 2.1 At its meeting held on 1 December 2014, the Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee (the Committee) appointed a Task and Finish Group (the group) to investigate the adequacy of the Council's arrangements to protect young people in Cheshire East from sexual exploitation. The members of the task and finish group were:



Chairman: The late Councillor Phil Hoyland

Councillors: Arthur Moran, Gill Merry

(The task and Finish group was assisted also by Councillor Jos Saunders)

- 2.2 The Task and Finish group agreed the following terms of reference:

“To seek assurances about the Council's safeguarding arrangements in relation to CSE, to advise the Cabinet and the Council's partners on any improvements that are considered appropriate to local arrangements and to raise awareness of CSE across the whole community of Cheshire East. This will be achieved through a review to be undertaken over a 2-month period beginning on 1 December 2014 and concluding on 31 January 2015 by a Task and Finish group comprising 3 Members of the Children Families and Adult Social Care Overview and Scrutiny committee, supported as the need arises by other members of the Committee”

3.0 Methodology

- 3.1 The group met 7 times over the period 15 December 2014 to 31 January 15 and interviewed 10 key individuals who were directly involved in the development and delivery of the Council's strategy to protect young people from sexual exploitation. These included the then Director of Children's Services, Portfolio holder, Chair of the LSCB, Head of Safeguarding, police and education representatives and the Council's licensing manager.

- 3.2 In March 2015, the group issued an interim report which summarised the findings of the group at that point in time. It did not make any formal recommendations but did highlight a number of issues that required attention. The findings of the interim report are included in this report.
- 3.3 Sadly, the Chairman Phil Hoyland, passed away in March 2015 and the review was halted as a mark of respect to Phil.
- 3.4 In June 2015, the newly appointed Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee reconvened the CSE task and finish group with the following revised membership and requested the group to complete the review



Councillor Rhoda Bailey Chairman



Councillor Dorothy Flude



Councillor Gill Merry



Councillor Arthur Moran



Councillor Jos Saunders

- 3.5 The group reconvened in November 2014. Having taken advice on which direction the review should process, it was agreed that the review should move away from examining the various strategies and policies that were in place to guide the Council and its partners and instead, to test the application of the strategies and policies by reviewing real cases.

4.0 Background

- 4.1 The starting point for the investigation was the national focus given to CSE resulting from the high profile cases of grooming and sexual exploitation of young people in Rochdale and Rotherham. The subsequent publication of the Jay report, which had been critical of the various agencies responsible for safeguarding in Rotherham and the report produced by Anne Coffey MP, commissioned by the Greater Manchester Police and Crime Commissioner to review the Greater Manchester response to CSE added to the evidence that some local authorities had questions to answer in respect of their procedures for dealing with CSE. In light of these and other high cases reported in the national media, Members decided to review Cheshire East's arrangements to protect against CSE; specifically to seek assurances that arrangements are fit for purpose and agile enough to address any issues relating to CSE in Cheshire East.

5.0 Methodology

- 5.1 Witnesses:

- 5.2 Members met with the following people during the review:

- DI C Morral – Cheshire Police
- DI S Blanchflower – Cheshire Police
- Tony Crane – Director of Children's Services
- Heather Grimbaldeston – Director of Public Health
- Kate Rose - Head of Service - Children's Safeguarding
- Ian Rush - Chair Local Safeguarding Children's Board
- Councillor Rachel Bailey Children and Families portfolio Holder
- Fiona Field – South Cheshire CCG
- Moira McGrath – South Cheshire CCG
- Karen Porter - Safeguarding Children in Education Settings Manager
- Councillor Paul Whitely – Chair of Licensing Committee Cheshire East
- Kim Evans - Licensing Officer
- Stephen Pepper – Team Manager
- Debbie Tattersall – Social Worker
- Stephen Mills -Practice Manager
- Laura Murrell – Social Worker
- Tom Dooks – Senior Manager YES team
- Joanne Boulton - Operations Manager YES team
- Kate Press - Independent Safeguarding Chair
- Elise Cox – Catch 22
- Karen Chan – Independent Review Officer

Date	Meeting / Site Visit
13/11/ 2014	Task and Finish Group Meeting Pre- scoping meeting
27/11/2014	Task and Finish Group Meeting
15/12/2014	Task and Finish Group Meeting with Licensing
16/12/2014	Task and Finish Group Meeting with Director Children's Services and Chair of LSCB
12/01/2015	Task and Finish Group Meeting with South Cheshire CCG
15/01/2015	Site Visit – Dalton House Middleswich meeting with Police and Children and Families Portfolio Holder
19/10 2015	Task and Finish Group Meeting with Head of Safeguarding
27/10/2015	Task and Finish Group Meeting
26/11/2015	Task and Finish Group Meeting
18/01/2016	Task and Finish Group Meeting
11/02/2016	Task and Finish Group Meeting
23/02/2016	Task and Finish Group Meeting
15/03/2016	Councillor D Flude case meeting with social workers
4/05/2016	Task and Finish Group Meeting
6/05/2016	Councillor G Merry case meeting with social workers
25/05/2016	Task and Finish Group Meeting
13/06/2016	Visit to the YES team
30/06/2016	Visit to CSE Operations Group
13/07/2016	Visit to the Multi Agency Integrated Team
8/09/2016	Visit to Safeguarding Chairs
26/09/2016	Task and Finish Group meeting with Karen Porter and discussion about the final report

6.0 Findings – February 2015

- 6.1 As the investigation progressed, it became clear to the Members involved, that the investigation of arrangements to protect against CSE was a big task. In just over 2 months, the group met 10 individuals representing a range of organisations, most of who were involved in CSE at a strategic level. The group acknowledged that there were many more people that they needed to

speak to within the Council and possibly many more from organisations outside of the Council.

- 6.2 Although the review at that point in time was in its early stages, a considerable amount of evidence had already been gathered and the group was assured that CSE was taken very seriously by the Council and its statutory partners.
- 6.3 The group was therefore confident that the procedures and policies that the Council had in place were good. This assurance was underpinned by the peer review of the Council's safeguarding arrangements undertaken in 2012 which had concluded:

That Cheshire East's key strengths are:

- A strong commitment at every level to improve outcomes for children;
- Good multi-agency working and partnerships;
- Strong leadership and management;
- A dedicated workforce; and
- The political will to implement change.

- 6.4 CSE takes many forms, and although there was no evidence of the large scale systematic CSE that had occurred elsewhere in the UK, there was evidence of isolated cases of CSE occurring in the borough. However, the group was satisfied that when cases came to light, all agencies acted swiftly. This assurance was tempered by the need to be cautious because all the agencies spoken to by the group reminded us that they can only deal with what they know, and therefore, the Council and its partners have to remain vigilant at all times. The training programmes in place for schools and partner agencies in health etc. were considered to be vital in making sure that all staff understood and recognised the signs of CSE and took action when they had suspicions, or when matters were brought to their attention.
- 6.5 We were particularly pleased to hear that plans were in place to put together a dedicated multi agency team under the direction of Kate Rose the Head of Children's Safeguarding Unit to ensure that CSE was kept high on the agenda.
- 6.6 There were some minor areas of concern expressed at February 2015
- 6.7 The first was outside the scope of the group's review and was a matter originally brought to our attention by the police. This relates to the licensing arrangements for taxi and private hire drivers.
- 6.8 We were concerned to discover that there was no consistency in respect of the conditions attached to licences in different local authority areas. Equally, there

was very little evidence that intelligence on drivers and applicants was shared between authorities. Applicants, who, for instance, may have made an unsuccessful application in one area, could subsequently secure a licence with another authority without that authority knowing the circumstances of a refusal elsewhere. We also discovered that if a driver had committed a criminal offence or breached the conditions of the operating licence, the police would notify the licensing authority, but this may not necessarily be the authority in which the driver operated most frequently. This was a concern, because we had strong evidence to suggest that many private hire/taxi drivers who operated within Cheshire East were licensed by other local authorities. The details of our concerns would be passed on to the licensing officers, but we acknowledged that this was a national issue and may have to be tackled through regional networks.

- 6.9 The group was impressed with the strong partnership working arrangements afforded by the co-location of key staff from ChECS, the police, and the child protection team then based at Dalton House Middlewich and encouraged those arrangements to continue when the staff were to be re-located to Sandbach in the near future.
- 6.10 It is recognised that there is some excellent engagement from some schools in recognising and responding to CSE concerns. This is not a uniform picture across Cheshire East. There is a need for some schools to have greater confidence and to give greater priority to this area of work and a particular need to determine how we engage with primary schools in particular, in order for those schools to be able to respond in an age appropriate way.
- 6.11 A common theme that was raised by many people in 2014 was the continual 'churn' of social workers in Children's services which was a cause for concern. The group is aware that this has largely been addressed by Children Services and the 'grow your own' initiative and continuous successful recruitment is now producing a new crop of in house trained social workers in which is supplemented by a successful recruitment programme, but we are not there yet.

7.0 Conclusions – February 2015

- 7.1 It is clear that the then chair of the Local Children's Safeguarding Board LSCB, Ian Rush had a close working relationship with the Director of Children's Services (DCS) and his team. However, the group felt that the independence of the LSCB chair needed to be clearer. There was no suggestion that this was in doubt, but the group strongly believed that the formal reporting line should be direct to the Chief Executive, notwithstanding that operational matters would be dealt with by the DCS and his team.

- 7.2 The group noted that the Chief Executive had recognised the importance of the LCSB, attended board meetings and had introduced an open door policy for the Independent Chair of the Local Children's Safeguarding Board. Given the nature of the LSCB's work, the group was pleased to hear that the Chair of the LSCB now had direct structured access to the Chief Executive.
- 7.3 Education and health teams should have direct input in to the ChECS service and police. The group believed that funding should be put in place to allow the Education Welfare officer to sit with this team as a matter of priority and immediate consideration should be given to making sure that cover was made for sickness and holidays.
- 7.4 Efforts should be maintained to ensure that training and practice were followed through at grass roots level across key partnerships especially schools, surgeries and hospitals. We would seek assurances about how this information is cascaded through organisations and monitored for effectiveness on the ground, especially as many of the partner organisations now operate as commissioners and therefore rely on contractors to ensure delivery. We would ask: Is this nimble enough?
- 7.5 There was evidence of good practice and communication between agencies but in some cases this appeared to have occurred by chance rather than design, due to the individuals involved rather than as a result of a formal requirement. However, we had concerns about potential weaknesses especially in respect of those agencies which operated across boundaries between Cheshire East and Greater Manchester, especially Stockport and Trafford to the north and Staffordshire authorities to the south. Contacts needed to be formalised at both senior and grass route level.

8.0 November 2015 to date

- 8.1 Having already looked at the Council's strategies and policies relating to CSE in the first phase of the review, and having been assured that these were adequate and robustly applied by the Council and partners, the group decided to shift its emphasis away from the strategic overview, and spend time looking at how the policies were applied to real cases, to test whether the Council makes a difference to children and young people where CSE is a vulnerability.
- 8.2 The Ofsted framework for judging Local Authority response on CSE gave some pointers for the group:
- The quality and impact of referral, assessment and planning.
 - The effectiveness of direct work with children and families and of services to support children.
 - The level of awareness among professional staff, including their willingness and effectiveness to listen and receive feedback from children and young people.

- The arrangements to protect care leavers who are at risk of or who have been sexually exploited.
- What is known about the authority culture in terms of:
- listening to children, hearing what they say and acting appropriately
- hearing from staff at the frontline and engaging with what they say
- elected member engagement with the local community
- the quality of work with partners to disrupt offenders or preventative work
- children and families' views on the service they have received

8.3 The group decided therefore to break their detailed investigations into five specific tasks:

- Track two children chosen randomly
- Visit to the Youth Engagement service
- Observation of CSE Operations Group
- Visit to the Multi Agency CSE Integrated team
- Observation of a CSE Case Conference

8.4 Due to the sensitivity of the subject matter involved in the case discussions involving the two children chosen, it was considered appropriate for those visits to be undertaken by single members of the group only. It also became apparent during the investigation that not all people involved in the live cases were content to speak to elected Members. It was not possible therefore to attend a CSE conference. This was due to the parent of the child involved in the case not wishing members of the task and finish group to be present. The group fully understood the position taken by the parent involved. Unfortunately, there were no further opportunities within the time frame of the review to attend another CSE conference. Instead, the group met the chair of the Safeguarding Chairs group who explained how CSE conferences were conducted.

9.0 Case Studies

9.1 Councillor Gill Merry investigated a case in the north of the Borough involving a male victim of CSE. Councillor Dorothy Flude investigated a case in the south of the Borough involving a female victim.

- 9.2 No details of the cases can be revealed in this report. In both cases, the members met with case workers associated with the case, but did not meet the victims or parents of the victims. What surprised members was the reluctance of the young people involved in the cases to engage with the case workers and partners. They had both refused to disclose who the perpetrators were in their respective cases. This was clearly frustrating for the staff involved but the group discovered that this was not uncommon.
- 9.3 The conclusion drawn from the investigation of the two cases is not particularly satisfactory under the circumstances. The professionals are clearly providing all the support that they possibly can to protect the young people involved but are being thwarted by the refusal of victims to co-operate. Unfortunately, in both cases, the young people remain at risk as they do not view or understand the nature of their relationship with their perpetrators to be exploitative. They believe it to be loving and feel trapped and unable to escape from it. They also often feel guilty and all these things prevent them from disclosing who is harming them. There is however, ongoing work and encouragement to disclose, via the establishment of a relationship between the social worker and young person.

10.0 Visit to the Youth Engagement Service (YES)

- 10.1 The group met representatives of the YES team including, one of three senior managers. We were told there are two parts to the service – prevention, particularly for those under the age of criminal responsibility (10), and those aged 10 – 17. Broadly, this means 8 – 18. The work spans pre-court work, including those who have never been arrested but are considered to be at risk, to those who have been found/recorded guilty of more serious offences. They work with the Youth Prevention Team which tries to keep them out of the courts system and the Youth Offending Team through referrals from court.
- 10.2 No single agency can tackle all the factors that cause criminal behaviour. There is representation from the Police, Probation, Social Care, Health and Education. There used to be two full time police officers that were designated, but police budget pressures had resulted in a cut of 50%. The Youth Offending Service (YOS) was now a pan-Cheshire service to achieve economies of scale. The Executive Director of People & Deputy Chief Executive has stated that there will be no reduction in services resulting from the changes. The YES was

already starting to do work with the YOS. The service would still be locally based and resources would not be depleted elsewhere.

- 10.3 All staff are trained, with the LSCB doing Level 3 training; the strategic level, and the operational level. Senior practitioners on the team train the staff. Different learning tools are used, including a bulletin, to make sure they are getting the up-to-date screening tool from the LSCB. They have read-only access to Liquid Logic. On 1st July 2016 the Prevention Team were moving on to Liquid Logic.
- 10.4 The group learned that there are many common routes for children and young people to get involved in CSE but mainly through relationships. The YES team need regular contact with vulnerable young people in order to monitor activity. They see the young people regularly, twice weekly, which is a massive benefit – the more the young people see the staff, the more they engage. This led the team to believe that on the prevention side, they were strong. When young people said they didn't want to work with the YES team, the staff would persist.
- 10.5 There is a full complement of staff on the YES team, and agency staff are not used. The number of young people seen varied from month to month. They deal mainly with young people considered to be in a risky situation, including those who display perpetrator behaviour. Most situations centre on a girlfriend/boyfriend model rather than organised groups.
- 10.6 A big part of the work of the team is in building relationships. It is important for team members to spend time getting to know the young people. Councillors were shown some work with a 14-year-old boy, on ascertaining attitudes to online chat, Facebook, Instagram etc. and eliciting understanding about what could be happening, linking it up with their interests. They talked about conversations online and how they could become threatening, and identified the risks. Some work was being done in schools through Catch 22, although there was not a consistent level of approach.

11.0 CSE Integrated Team

- 11.1 The team is based at Sandbach House on Crewe Road Sandbach. The group met five team members representing the Police, Catch 22, a Missing from Home worker, the nurse specialist, and the manager of the integrated team..

- 11.2 The group discovered that the integrated team was not a 'case holding' team but are there to facilitate good practice across the LSCB that front line practitioners should be taking on board. The primary function of the team of nine is prevention and awareness raising, including community safety partnerships and the faith sector. They do a lot of work with schools, working with the Safeguarding Children in Education Settings (SCIES) team, teaching children about healthy relationships, identifying children at risk, linking with and supporting social workers. A CSE screening tool has been developed for every front line practitioner to use.
- 11.3 The team is a relatively new concept having first been brought to the group's attention in early 2015. The team is trying to gain the confidence of the front line practitioners to liaise with them and share information. Concerns are escalated as appropriate. The group had previously been made aware of the benefits of having such teams co-located with other professionals as had been the case at Dalton House Middlewich in 2014. It is crucial that members of the team work together on the same floor so that they can pick up on one another's conversations to identify links between young people and their contacts. Even having to use a set of stairs to speak to colleagues can form a natural barrier.
- 11.4 The team is contracted to provide a service based upon the assessed needs of the child involved and work with the child as long as needed. This may involve a relatively small number of sessions (6-8) although they opt for flexibility and extend that where necessary. They use the positive relationships they build with young people to help them access other services, e.g. the sexual health clinic.
- 11.5 The team now have access to Liquid Logic but this is still being embedded and the team needs stability to develop further.
- 11.6 It was noted that the team did not have a representative from Education Welfare, which would be a valuable addition as they sometimes come across children missing from education and not necessarily missing from home. This could be referred to the Schools Forum to consider.

12.0 – Visit to the CSE Operations Group

- 12.1 The meeting investigated the current situation of a number of young people individually, and was used as a good opportunity for information sharing. There was no representative from the Pupil Referral Unit (PRU) which the team considered was an omission that should be

rectified. The group would like to pay tribute to their 'early intervention' work in spotting young people, through their contacts and other information, who may be at risk of being drawn into CSE and the group's efforts to prevent that from happening.

13.0 Safeguarding Chairs Group

- 13.1 The group met three safeguarding chairs to learn about the way in which CSE case conferences were carried out.
- 13.2 It was explained that Case conferences were held at the centres in Macclesfield and Crewe. The room layout used for the Macclesfield and Crewe centres was identical. The aim was to make the conference as informal as possible without tables which form an artificial barrier. Chairs are set out in a semi-circular fashion. This makes the atmosphere less intimidating for participants. Macclesfield also had the benefit of an ante room which was used to allow young people to retire to if matters became too difficult or during periods when it was not appropriate for the young person to be in the main conference room. Crewe did not have such a room at Delamere House and this was considered to be a disadvantage.
- 13.3 Conferences are attended by the young person, health professionals, Education provider, carers and any other relevant agencies such as catch 22.
- 13.4 The conference aims to put the child at ease and begins by talking about who is important to the child. This is to start on a positive note. Often there are surprises as to who the child identifies as a person important to them, but often, it is a social worker, teacher, and school nurse or family member. This can itself provide a revealing insight into the lifestyle of the child/young person. Likewise, it is considered telling if the young person is unable to identify somebody who is important to them.
- 13.5 This initial conversation provides vital clues for the conference to identify an individual who may be able to work with the child/young person. The conference attempts to establish what is going well in the life of the child/young person, again to give emphasis to the positive aspects of their life e.g. Education, family relationships, activities etc. The conference seeks to identify aspects of the child's life that are being managed well. This could be for instance that an improvement has been made in the number of times a child goes missing, or maybe having agreed to allow parents to see social media accounts and sharing passwords etc. It is found that people often find it hard to

discuss difficult matters; so again, this positive discussion takes place first in order to open up dialogue.

- 13.6 The conference also tries to identify matters that the child/young person is worried about. This could be inappropriate relations involving peer group, meeting people on line, - in the modern world 'E safety' is very important, doing favours for people in return of something e.g. packet of cigarettes. The conference is always wary about new things being acquired, especially alcohol. Conference is alert to missing from home incidents, especially if they are for prolonged periods. The group learned that there is a high correlation between children going missing and being at risk of CSE . Another concern would be discovering that the child/young person has travelled to areas that they have never been to before and continually go back to. There is a need to discover how child/young person have made connections – how are they getting there? It is accepted that teenagers are very secretive but is the secrecy beyond what you would normally expect? Other warning signs are:
- Self-harm
 - Internet use
 - Frequenting CSE hotspots
 - Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs)
- 13.7 Occasionally, the child/young person can be hostile to this approach especially if they come unprepared for the meeting. An added complication is that many are convinced that they are in a genuine relationship but don't see the signs. Cheshire East has a very good attendance rate with 80% of children/young people attending case conferences. It was suggested that the attendance rate was good because the focus of the conference is on the child/young person and not parents.
- 13.8 In terms of working to make improvements, the conference identifies an individual who is best to work with the child/ young person. Parents are always involved. Conference works with the police to disrupt and prevent inappropriate relationships continuing and aims to build self esteem and self worth. Also measures are identified to improve health, especially if they are sexually active, including contraception. Emotional health is also an important consideration, as is sexual identity as some sexual exploitation relates to sexual orientation.

- 13.9 Conferences put review arrangements in place three months after conference with a mid-point meeting between the chair and social worker. If something has not been done, practice alerts can be issued at this stage. The group was informed that 86 practice alerts were issued last year. Finally, a timetable of actions is produced so that everybody is clear as to who needs to take action and when.

14.0 SCIES Team

- 14.1 The group met the manager of the SCIES team twice. The first time at the beginning of the enquiry and the second time at the end of the enquiry. The group were pleased to see the positive progress made in the intervening twelve months and were particularly encouraged to hear evidence that relationships between the team and schools was good and that schools were fully engaged in the process. Training for schools was well received and the team provided lots of useful additional advice through news bulletins and a dedicated website. However, the group felt that opportunities should be provided for the team to engage with trainee teachers who were studying at the Crewe Campus of Manchester Metropolitan University in order to raise awareness of all the initiatives provided by the Council at the earliest opportunity and this forms one of our recommendations.

15.0 Conclusions

- 15.1 The second phase of this review was completed many months after the work led by the late Cllr Hoyland in phase one. As with all child protection issues, those that affect children who are victims of child sexual exploitation are complex. These children often believe that they are in a loving relationship with their abuser. Whilst the group acknowledges achievements in this area have been steady, we also recognise that the Council and its partners must remain ever vigilant. This report is not exhaustive and the length of time between the two phases has inevitably led to things moving on. The improvements made to stabilise the workforce in children's social care since this review started in 2014 is welcomed, particularly the 'grow your own' initiative which is now beginning to bear fruit. One recurring message that has appeared throughout this investigation is 'We only know what we know'.
- 15.2 Although not directly falling within the terms of reference of this review, many individuals we met raised genuine concerns about the current

Taxi/Private Hire Licensing arrangements. This became a recurring theme throughout our investigations which inevitably led us to the conclusion that the concerns expressed by the group in phase 1 of the review should be reiterated in this final report and be the subject of a formal recommendation. We acknowledge this is a national issue that only the Government can address, but if we at Cheshire East can at least start the ball rolling by taking the lead at regional level, enough impetus may be generated to begin a national debate.

16.0 Recommendations

- 16.1 That the Cabinet Member for Communities and Health in conjunction with Licensing Committee be requested to take up at regional and national level this group's concerns regarding the current licensing arrangements for private hire and hackney carriage drivers, which the group consider to be unsatisfactory. The group is of the view that the government should consider introducing a uniform set of licence conditions across all local authorities to ensure that the standard required by an individual to obtain a licence is the same irrespective of where the application is made. In addition, the group believes that consideration should be given to the introduction of measures to require authorities to share/publish information relating to applications that have been refused to prevent the continuation of the current situation which allows an applicant, who may have had an application for a licence refused by one authority, to subsequently obtain a licence elsewhere.
- 16.2 That representatives from the Pupil Referral Unit (PRU) and Education Welfare Service be limited to the Integrated Team. These valuable additions to the team should close potential gaps in the current arrangements, as the PRU and welfare services sometimes come across children who may be missing from education but not necessarily missing from home.
- 16.3 That consideration be given to re-locating the CES Integrated Team from its current location at Sandbach House, where there are presently nine staff working in cramped conditions in one room, to a building that will enable the whole team to be located on one floor in the same building; this to encourage better communication at an informal level which was considered to be a big part of the success of arrangements at the previous location at Dalton House Middlewich.

- 16.4 That whilst recognising the strong police commitment to CSE, the integrated team and wider support, Cheshire Police be asked to consider reinstating arrangements to assign a police officer to the YES team which would be seen as a positive influence
- 16.5 That in the interests of consistency, the current accommodation arrangements that exist at Macclesfield Town Hall in respect of CSE conferences, which includes a separate ante room/break out area for young people to retire to, be replicated at Delamere House Crewe.
- 16.6 That consideration be given to offering the services of the SCIES team to the Manchester Metropolitan University to provide CSE training for trainee teachers.
- 16.7 That the Schools Forum be requested to consider nominating an Education Welfare Officer to become part of the Integrated Team.



FORWARD PLAN FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 28TH FEBRUARY 2017

This Plan sets out the key decisions which the Executive expects to take over the period indicated above. The Plan is rolled forward every month. A key decision is defined in the Council's Constitution as:

"an executive decision which is likely –

- (a) to result in the local authority incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the local authority's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; or
- (b) to be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising one or more wards or electoral divisions in the area of the local authority.

For the purpose of the above, savings or expenditure are "significant" if they are equal to or greater than £1M."

Reports relevant to key decisions, and any listed background documents, may be viewed at any of the Council's Offices/Information Centres 5 days before the decision is to be made. Copies of, or extracts from, these documents may be obtained on the payment of a reasonable fee from the following address:

Democratic Services Team
Cheshire East Council
c/o Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach Cheshire CW11 1HZ
Telephone: 01270 686472

However, it is not possible to make available for viewing or to supply copies of reports or documents the publication of which is restricted due to confidentiality of the information contained.

A record of each key decision is published within 6 days of it having been made. This is open for public inspection on the Council's Website, at Council Information Centres and at Council Offices.

This Forward Plan also provides notice that the Cabinet, or a Portfolio Holder, may decide to take a decision in private, that is, with the public and press excluded from the meeting. In accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012, 28 clear days' notice must be given of any decision to be taken in private by the Cabinet or a Portfolio Holder, with provision for the public to make representations as to why the decision should be taken in public. In such cases, Members of the Council and the public may make representations in writing to the Democratic Services Team Manager using the contact details below. A further notice of intention to hold the meeting in private must then be published 5 clear days before the

meeting, setting out any representations received about why the meeting should be held in public, together with a response from the Leader and the Cabinet.

The list of decisions in this Forward Plan indicates whether a decision is to be taken in private, with the reason category for the decision being taken in private being drawn from the list overleaf:

1. Information relating to an individual
2. Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual
3. Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including to authority holding that information)
4. Information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations, in connection with any labour relations matter arising between the authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or office holders under the authority
5. Information in respect of which a claim to legal and professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings
6. Information which reveals that the authority proposes (a) to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or (b) to make an order or direction under any enactment
7. Information relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime

If you would like to make representations about any decision to be conducted in private at a meeting, please email:

Paul Mountford, Democratic Services Officer paul.mountford@cheshireeast.gov.uk

Such representations must be received at least 10 clear working days before the date of the Cabinet or Portfolio Holder meeting concerned.

Where it has not been possible to meet the 28 clear day rule for publication of notice of a key decision or intention to meet in private, the relevant notices will be published as soon as possible in accordance with the requirements of the Constitution.

The law and the Council's Constitution provide for urgent key decisions to be made. Any decision made in this way will be published in the same way.

Forward Plan

Key Decision and Private Non-Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 16/17-10 Middlewich Eastern Bypass: Selection of Preferred Route, Development of Detailed Design and Outline Business Case	To select a preferred design; develop the detailed design; authorise discussions with landowners and developers; and develop an outline business case, identifying the funding required to deliver the bypass.	Cabinet	8 Nov 2016		Andrew Round, Interim Executive Director of Growth and Prosperity	No
CE 16/17-14 Congleton Link Road - Procurement Strategy	To approve the preferred procurement strategy for Congleton Link Road and to authorise the officers to take the necessary actions to commence the procurement process.	Cabinet	8 Nov 2016		Paul Griffiths	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 15/16-18 Bentley Development Framework	To approve the development framework as a consultation draft document; and to agree to review the development framework following public consultation with a view to considering endorsing the final version of the document as a material consideration when determining future planning applications on the site.	Cabinet	6 Dec 2016		Andrew Round, Interim Executive Director of Growth and Prosperity	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 16/17-12 Expressions of Interest to the DfE Innovation Programme	<p>To authorise the Executive Director People to submit the business cases and proceed to the final stages of the bidding process to the DfE Innovation Programme, and to undertake all necessary negotiations and enter into any funding and associated agreements to secure the funding from the DfE Innovation Programme.</p> <p>To delegate the authority to make decisions on the use of funds for these projects to the Executive Director People, including the procurement of services, provision of grants, entering into partnership agreements and authorising the acceptance and use of funds.</p>	Cabinet	6 Dec 2016		Nigel Moorhouse, Interim Director of Children's Services	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 16/17-18 Connected Communities	To approve the Connected Communities Strategy and to agree the allocation of existing Partnerships and Communities Budget for the delivery of the Strategy; and to authorise officers to take all necessary steps to implement and deliver the Strategy.	Cabinet	6 Dec 2016		Kirstie Hercules	No
CE 16/17-22 Merger between Wulvern Housing Association and the Guinness Partnership	Wulvern Housing Association is seeking the support of Cheshire East Council to merge with the Guinness Partnership. Cabinet will be requested to approve the nominated member to vote in favour of the proposal at the Wulvern Board Special General Meetings in December 2016 and January 2017 which will give formal consent to the merger.	Cabinet	6 Dec 2016		Karen Carsberg	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 16/17-23 Quality of Place	'Quality of Place' is a measure that focuses on the connection of environment and places. Cabinet will be asked to adopt the principles relating to Quality of Place as the overarching strategy for the Council and to inform a revised corporate plan for the Council. The paper will set out the principles and related benefits, noting that 'Quality of Place' will also be used as the key driver behind the refresh of the Local Enterprise Partnership's Strategic Economic Plan. A draft revised corporate plan will be prepared for Cabinet's consideration in due course.	Cabinet	6 Dec 2016		Brendan Flanagan	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 16/17-26 Procurement of Care and Support at Heath View Extra Care Housing Scheme, Congleton	To approve the award of a contract and authorise officers to implement the decision.	Cabinet	6 Dec 2016		Mark Palethorpe	N/A
CE 16/17-19 Highways Service Contract Re-Procurement	To approve the contract model, procurement route and project management framework, and to authorise the officers to progress the re-procurement exercise in consultation with the Portfolio Holder.	Cabinet	17 Jan 2017		Frank Jordan, Executive Director: Place	No
CE 16/17-20 Crewe Nurseries	To give delegated authority to the Executive Director- People and Deputy Chief Executive, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder, to award a contract for the Children's Residential Home tender.	Cabinet	17 Jan 2017		Kath O'Dwyer, Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director: People	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 15/16-8 Poynton Relief Road - Procurement Strategy and Compulsory Purchase of Land	The Poynton Relief Road forms an important part of the Council's strategy of enabling job creation, delivering housing growth and addressing long standing traffic congestion and environmental issues in the town, as well as delivering an important part of the wider SEMMMS Strategy. One report will outline the work undertaken to identify the procurement process to appoint a contractor in order to construct the scheme. A second report will outline the statutory process. A third report will seek authority for the compulsory purchase of land. The reports will also seek authority for the officers to undertake all necessary actions to implement the proposals.	Cabinet	7 Feb 2017		Paul Griffiths	No
CE 16/17-11 Crewe HS2 Masterplan	To approve the HS2 masterplan for Crewe, and to authorise the Executive Director Place to enter into a public consultation on the masterplan in 2017.	Cabinet	7 Feb 2017		Andrew Ross	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 16/17-24 Cheshire Energy Networks Ltd Business Plan	To seek Cabinet's endorsement of the business plan for Cheshire Energy Networks Ltd. It is intended that the business plan will provide the framework for the company's activities for the next five years.	Cabinet	7 Feb 2017		Frank Jordan, Executive Director: Place	
CE 16/17-27 Determination of Local Authority Co-ordinated Scheme and Admission Arrangements 2018/19	To approve the Council's Co-ordinated Scheme and Admission Arrangements for 2018/19.	Cabinet	7 Feb 2017		Kath O'Dwyer, Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director: People	N/A
CE 16/17-4 Medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-20	To approve the Medium Term Financial Strategy for 2017-20, incorporating the Council's priorities, budget, policy proposals and capital programme.	Council	23 Feb 2017		Alex Thompson	No
CE 16/17-25 Food Waste Collection Organic Waste Treatment Solution	To authorise officers to take all necessary actions to implement the proposal to bring to final tender the procurement for the treatment of food waste.	Cabinet	14 Mar 2017		Ralph Kemp	No

Key Decision	Decisions to be Taken	Decision Maker	Expected Date of Decision	Proposed Consultation	How to make representation to the decision made	Private/ Confidential and paragraph number
CE 14/15-42 Cheshire East Indoor Facility Strategy	To adopt the Indoor Facility Strategy in support of the Council's Local Plan.	Cabinet	11 Apr 2017		Mark Wheelton	No
CE 16/17-21 Commissioning a Voluntary, Community and Faith Infrastructure Service	To approve the commissioning of a Voluntary, Community and Faith Infrastructure Service from April 2017 and authorise the officers to take all necessary actions to implement the proposal.	Cabinet	11 Apr 2017		Stephanie Cordon, Head of Communities	Exempt by virtue of para 5

This page is intentionally left blank

CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

REPORT TO: CHILDREN AND FAMILIES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting: 19 December 2016
Report of: Director of Legal Services
Subject/Title: Work Programme update

1.0 Report Summary

- 1.1 To review items in the 2016/2017 Work Programme listed in the schedule attached, together with any other items suggested by Committee Members.

2.0 Recommendations

That the 2016/2017 work programme be reviewed.

3.0 Reasons for Recommendations

- 3.1 It is good practice to agree and review the Work Programme to enable effective management of the Committee's business.

4.0 Wards Affected

- 4.1 All

5.0 Local Ward Members

- 5.1 Not applicable.

6.0 Policy Implications including - Carbon reduction - Health

- 6.1 Not known at this stage.

7.0 Financial Implications

- 7.1 Not known at this stage.

8.0 Legal Implications

- 8.1 None.

9.0 Risk Management

9.1 There are no identifiable risks.

10.0 Background and Options

10.1 The schedule attached has been updated following the last meeting of the committee.

10.2 Members are asked to review the schedule attached to this report, and if appropriate, add new items or delete items that no longer require any scrutiny activity. When selecting potential topics, Members should have regard to the Council's new three year plan and also to the general criteria listed below, which should be applied to all potential items when considering whether any Scrutiny activity is appropriate.

The following questions should be asked in respect of each potential work programme item:

- Does the issue fall within a corporate priority;
- Is the issue of key interest to the public;
- Does the matter relate to a poor or declining performing service for which there is no obvious explanation;
- Is there a pattern of budgetary overspends;
- Is it a matter raised by external audit management letters and or audit reports?
- Is there a high level of dissatisfaction with the service;

10.3 If during the assessment process any of the following emerge, then the topic should be rejected:

- The topic is already being addressed elsewhere
- The matter is subjudice
- Scrutiny cannot add value or is unlikely to be able to conclude an investigation within the specified timescale

11 Access to Information

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name: Katie Small
Designation: Scrutiny Officer
Tel No: 01270 686465
Email: katie.small@cheshireeast.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2016/17 - November 2016

Formal meeting	Formal Meeting	Formal Meeting	Informal Meeting	Formal Meeting	Informal
Date: 28 November 2016 Time: 2.00pm Venue: Committee suite, Westfields	Date: 19 December 2016 Time:2.00pm Venue: Committee suite, Westfields	Date: 30 January 2017 Time:2.00pm Venue: Committee suite, Westfields	Date: 27 February 2017 Time:2.00pm Venue: Committee suite, Westfields	Date: 27 March 2017 Time:2.00pm Venue: Committee suite, Westfields	Date: 24 April 2017 Time:2.00pm Venue: Committee suite, Westfields

Essential items

Item	Description/purpose of report/comments	Outcome	Lead Officer/organisation/Portfolio Holder	Suggested by	Current position	Key Dates/Deadlines
Child Sexual Exploitation	Task and Finish group set up in December 2014 which produced Interim report on 10 February 2015 following informal workshop held with partner organisations in Autumn 2014 to ascertain the current situation in Cheshire East.	Our local communities are strong and supportive	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	The Committee	Task and Finish Group in final stages of the review	19 December 2016
Emotional Health and Wellbeing	To scrutinise the Local Delivery Plan in relation to emotional health and well being. (Joint presentation between partners). Concentrate on emotionally healthy schools	People live well and for longer	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People , Director of	The Committee	Invite Health and Adult Social care Scrutiny Committee	19 December 2016

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2016/17 - November 2016

			Public Health Children and Families Portfolio Holder and Adults health and Leisure Portfolio Holder			
Independent Review Officer Annual Report	Further to meeting held on 31 October, to receive a 6 monthly progress report	A responsible effective and efficient organisation	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	The Committee	Update report to include details of the 34 care leavers not in employment or education.	24 April 2017
Lado Annual Report		A responsible effective and efficient organisation	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director		28 November 2016
Budget Report	To review the budget saving within children and families	A responsible effective and efficient organisation	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director			30 January 2017

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2016/17 - November 2016

			People Children and Families Portfolio Holder			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

Monitoring Items

Item	Description/purpose of report/comments	Outcome	Lead Officer/ organisation/ Portfolio Holder	Suggested by	Current position	Key Dates/ Deadlines
Performance Monitoring – C&F Scorecard	Quarterly performance reports	A responsible effective and efficient organisation	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	Ofsted	Quarterly	Q2 – 28.11.16 Q3 – 27.02.17
Ofsted Inspection/ Safeguarding – update/ Recruitment & retention of Staff. Social Workers/Review of	Involvement in the action plan to respond to the 2015 Ofsted report	Our local communities are strong and supportive	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and	Chairman	New arrangements to flow from the inspection report on the July 2015 unannounced inspection	Update on improvement plan – 28 November 2016

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2016/17 - November 2016

HR Policies			Families Portfolio Holder			
School Improvement/ School capacity		People have the life skills and education they need in order to thrive	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	The Committee	Validated results 2016.	30 January 2017
Public Health – responsibility for 0-19 year olds	Presentation received on 1 December 2014 relating to how the Council implements public health responsibilities and influences children's lifestyles. This came out of Corporate Scrutiny's review of the Ofsted inspection report in March 2013	People live well and for longer	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	Corporate Scrutiny Committee	Further progress report required in 2016	19 December 2016
SEND Reforms	To scrutinise the implementation of SEND Reforms	People have the life skills and education they need in order to thrive	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	Director of Children's Services	Task and Finish Review	September 2017

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2016/17 - November 2016

Alternative Walking Routes to Schools	Further to the Call In, to receive an update on the recommendations agreed by Cabinet.		Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	The Committee	Progress report.	24 April 2017
Children's Centres	To review the February 2016 Council decision to de-designate 4 children's centres, following an informal briefing on 23 June 2016.	People have the life skills and education they need in order to thrive	Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People Children and Families Portfolio Holder	The Committee		March 2017
Corporate Parenting	To review the annual report of the newly a established Corporate Parenting Committee		Deputy Chief Executive and Executive Director People , Children and Families Portfolio Holder	The Committee		June 2017

Children and Families Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2016/17 - November 2016

Possible Future/ desirable items

Neglect/Innovation Fund (project Crewe) (Jan 2017) and potential Review of Neglect (possibly through a task and finish group) – April 2017. To be considered on 30 January to allow time for the evaluation report to be produced.

Task and Finish Group on the transition process for care leavers (if required following a report to the Corporate parenting committee)

Removed items

Schools becoming academies